



1625 North Market Blvd., Suite S-200 Sacramento, CA 95834 (916) 574-7830 www.bbs.ca.gov Gavin Newsom, Governor State of California

Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency Department of Consumer Affairs

1	TELEHEALTH COMMITTEE MINUTES	
2		
4	A recorded webcast of this meeting is available at https://youtu.be/dKbGUcPR 60.	
5	7 TOOTIGG WODGGS OF G	The meeting is available at mtps://youta.be/artbeeter 100.
6		
7	DATE	January 22, 2021
8		
9	MEETING PLATFORM	WebEx Video/Phone Conference
10	Duranent to the	nuncial and of Covernor Covin Newson's Everythy Order
11 12	Pursuant to the provisions of Governor Gavin Newsom's Executive Order N-25-20, dated March 12, 2020, neither a public location nor teleconference	
13	locations are provided.	
14	ioodiioiio dio pi	ovidodi
15	TIME	8:30 a.m.
16		
17	ATTENDEES	
18	Members Present:	Christina Wong, Chair, LCSW Member
19		Susan Friedman, Public Member
20	Marshara Abaardi	Converted Australia (CONVINA) and a series of
21 22	Members Absent:	Crystal Anthony, LCSW Member
23	Staff Present:	Steve Sodergren, Interim Executive Officer
24	otan i rosent.	Rosanne Helms, Legislative Manager
25		Christy Berger, Regulatory Analyst
26		Christina Kitamura, Administrative Analyst
27		Sabina Knight, Legal Counsel
28	Other Attended	
29 30	Other Attendees:	Public participation via WebEx video conference/phone conference
		Connectice
31 32		

1 I. Call to Order and Establishment of Quorum 2 3 Christina Wong, Chair of the Telehealth Committee (Committee) called the 4 meeting to order at 8:38 a.m. Roll was called, and a quorum was established. 5 6 II. Introductions 7 8 Committee members and Board staff introduced themselves. 9 10 III. **Overview of Purpose and Role of the Committee** 11 12 **Purpose of the Committee** 13 The Board of Behavioral Sciences (Board) has established the Telehealth 14 Committee to determine if any of the Board's statutes and regulations related to 15 the practice of telehealth by its licensees, registrants, and trainees need to be 16 updated or clarified. 17 18 **Issues for Discussion** 19 Staff has identified the following potential topics related to telehealth that the 20 Committee may wish to discuss at future meetings. These topics are based on 21 feedback or common guestions from licensees and registrants, stakeholders, 22 Board members, and Board staff. 23 24 The ability of pre-licensed individuals (trainees) to perform services via 1. telehealth (possible BPC §2290.5(a)(3) clarification). 25 26 27 2. The ability of MFT and PCC trainees to gain required practicum hours via 28 telehealth. Possible clarification of "face-to-face" terminology in BPC 29 §§4980.36(d)(1)(B), 4980.37(c)(2), 4999.32(c)(3), and 4999.33(c)(3). 30 31 3. The allowable settings for pre-licensed individuals to perform services via 32 telehealth. 33 34 4. The supervision via videoconferencing requirements for associates and 35 trainees. The use of the term "face-to-face" contact in these sections 36 when defining direct supervisor contact. (BPC §§4980.43.2(b) and (d), 37 4996.23.1(b) and (f), 4999.46.2(b) and (d)) 38 39 5. Review of current BBS telehealth regulations, including discussion of §1815.5(e). 40 41 42 6. Examination and discussion of delivery methods being offered. 43 44 7. Examination and discussion of regulating online therapy sites operating in 45 California.

1 8. The possibility of adding a temporary/incidental allowance for out-of-state 2 licensees to perform services for clients that have moved to California, or 3 are temporarily located in California (both in an emergency situation and 4 incidentally, such as traveling or for college). 5 6 9. Examination of the U.S. Department of Veteran's Affairs telehealth 7 allowance. 8 9 10. Examination and discussion of interstate compacts. 10 11 11. Telehealth and Medicaid/Medicare reimbursement. 12 13 12. Discussion of outreach strategy to educate California consumers about 14 online therapy (consumer rights, possible downfalls, etc.). 15 Members of public expressed concerns and provided ideas for future 16 17 discussion: 18 Concerns regarding 5150 applications and children in major topics, 19 specifically for 5585 20 Posting license in background while providing treatment via video platform 21 Mandated telehealth training/scope of competence 22 Telehealth considered as a therapeutic modality in the idea of having a 23 scope of competence 24 How to make the telehealth standard consistent among all states 25 Clarifying temporary movement (college students) and determining which 26 state board is responsible for their services. 27 28 IV. Discussion and Comparison of Other States and Other State Agencies' 29 Laws Regarding Practice Across State Lines and the Use of Telehealth 30 31 The Board requires a therapist to hold a valid and current California license if 32 they are engaging in therapy via telehealth with a client who is physically located in California. 33 34 35 Many states have a similar requirement. However, some deviate from this to allow additional flexibility so that clients who are travelling or who are 36 37 transitioning to living in a new state may obtain temporary services from an outof-state licensee. 38 39 40 Requirements of the following states and agencies, to provide telehealth with a client who is physically located in a different state, were provided: Arizona, 41 Colorado, District of Columbia, Florida, New Jersey, Utah, Wyoming, California 42 Board of Psychology, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs 43

Steve: Suggested a discussion regarding a registration system for out-of-state licensees providing temporary services in California so that they could be tracked and monitored. The mechanism should be simple, not burdensome, and not a lengthy process.

Josie Baker: Supervision regarding this issue is important, especially for getting Kaiser's ASWs trained.

Michael Levy: There is potential need for federal considerations as behavioral health services change to a non-local and more interstate. Suggests looking "beyond state-by-state regulation" and collaborate with the federal government. Expressed concern about the potential for over-regulation and whether it has been established through research, complaints, evaluation or monitoring whether there are problems that need to be addressed. Expressed the importance to establish a need for training and regulation, before burdening professionals with additional regulation. Temporary licenses for interstate practice: this could be burdensome. Suggests looking at a "course of treatment" rather than an individual session.

Christa Tipton: Getting a single online course for teaching telehealth practices could be would be good for students. There has already been talk about that. NASW technology standards has a lot of supportive information on supervision.

The Committee suggested looking at the law for Utah and D.C. as examples to draft language. Staff will begin drafting language to present at a future meeting.

V. Review and Discussion of the Board's Current Telehealth Regulations (California Code of Regulations Title 16, section 1815.5)

Regulation §1815.5(e) states that a California licensee or registrant may only provide telehealth to a client in another jurisdiction if he or she meets the requirements to lawfully provide services in that jurisdiction, and if telehealth is allowed by that jurisdiction.

Regulation §1815.5(f) states that failure to comply with any provisions of the Board's telehealth regulations is unprofessional conduct.

The California Association of Marriage and Family Therapists (CAMFT) has raised a concern that making it unprofessional conduct if a therapist fails to check that he or she is following the laws of the jurisdiction where the client is located is too rigid and could lead to unintended consequences. They suggest that the location of 1815.5(e) be moved to after 1815.5(f) so that it functions as guidance, rather than a requirement that one must follow to avoid discipline.

Whether another jurisdiction decides to take disciplinary action based on a complaint they receive when a therapist is practicing with a patient in their jurisdiction who is traveling, transitioning, or in crisis is at their discretion and outside of the authority of this Board.

Telehealth Guidance

 A significant amount of guidance for telehealth practice has been developed by various organizations since the Board adopted its initial telehealth regulations. Some examples are:

• The Center for Connected Health Policy's website discusses cross-state licensing and provides a general overview of current state efforts.

 The Association of Marital and Family Therapy Regulatory Boards (AMFTRB) released teletherapy guidelines in 2016.

 The American Counseling Association (ACA) and National Association of Social Workers (NASW) provide resources related to telehealth on their websites.

Jennifer Alley, CAMFT: CAMFT is looking for standards or guidance on what would be deemed unprofessional conduct when providing telehealth services to an existing patient in another state. Not checking with local laws in another state is not a good enough reason.

The Committee was receptive to CAMFT's request to flip the order of sections 1815.5(e) and 1815.5(f) and will discuss this further in future meetings.

VI. Public Comment for Items Not on the Agenda

A letter from Lyra Health was received and provided.

Alex Epps, Lyra Health: Explained that the letter was to provide information on Lyra Health, what Lyra Health does, and some of its interests.

Kassandre Clayton: Who are the gatekeepers for reviewing the telehealth companies that are emerging? Are telehealth platforms taken into consideration?

VII. Suggestions for Future Agenda Items

) None

VIII. Adjournment

The Committee adjourned at 10:53 a.m.